Total Synthesis of Terpenoids Isolated from Caulerpalme Algae and Their Inhibition of Tubulin Assembly
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Abstract: Total synthesis of four analogue terpenoids isolated from Caulerpa taxifolia was achieved in good yield with a total control of each double bond. Biological tests to compare the activities of in vitro tubulin polymerisation between the natural caulerpenyne and the synthetic caulerpenyne and its derivatives were also performed.
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Introduction

Marine algae of the order Caulerpales are known for their chemical defence against predators by producing secondary metabolites. The majority of these compounds are sesquiterpenoids and diterpenoids, often acyclic. The terminal 1,4-diacetoxybutadiene moiety is a functional group common to most of these metabolites and uniquely found in this group of marine algae. To date, more than thirty toxins with this moiety have been isolated from the Udoteaceae and Caulerpaceae families such as caulerpenyne, flexiline, dihydrorhipocephalin and crispatenine (Figure 1).

The 1,4-diacetoxybutadiene moiety represents an acetylated bis-enol form of the 1,4-dialdehyde constellation, to which a high degree of biological activity is generally attributed. Indeed, some metabolites containing this moiety have been implicated in chemical defence against grazing fishes and invertebrates in herbivore-rich tropical waters and this has, for example, been proposed to explain the proliferation from Italy to Spain of Caulerpa taxifolia, a tropical green seaweed accidentally introduced in the Mediterranean sea. From Caulerpa taxifolia were isolated nine mono- and sesquiterpenes such as caulerpenyne (CYN, 1) which represents the main secondary metabolite of this algae.
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inhibitory concentration of 21±2 µM. By electronic microscopy, we concluded that CYN induced aggregation of tubulin which may be responsible for the microtubule inhibition.7

In order to study the structure-activity relationship concerning the pharmacophore requirements, to prove the significant role of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety in biological effects of such terpenoids and to evaluate the role of the chiral centre, the terminal alkyl chain and stereochemy of diacetoxybutadiene moiety, we have undertaken the first total racemic synthesis of CYN (1) and the first total synthesis of three other natural analogues of CYN: taxifolial A (2), dihydrorhipocephalin (3) and furocaulerpin (4).

This article is a full account of the synthesis of CYN (1) and three other, naturally occurring analogous terpenoids 2, 3 and 4 completed by the biological evaluations/comparisons on the in vitro inhibition of the polymerisation of microtubules for each of enantiomeric and diastereomeric forms of all synthetic metabolites.

Results and Discussion

In this part, we describe the synthesis of CYN (1) and the other natural secondary metabolites [taxifolial A (2), dihydrorhipocephalin (3) and furocaulerpin (4)] in racemic and enantio-enriched forms.

Synthesis of Caulerpenyne (1) and Dihydrorhipocephalin (3)

Our planned synthesis of 1 and 3 (Scheme 1) called for the initial preparation of common functionalized fragments I and II (X = halogen for CYN and X = alkyl for 3). The main structural features of CYN (1) are a diacetoxybutadiene moiety, a secondary acetate stereocentre, and a dienyne function in which the trisubstituted central double bond presents an E configuration. Our strategy for synthesizing caulerpenyne (1) is based on the synthesis of one of its metabolites taxifolial A (2). Aldehyde 2 was constructed by coupling of three fragments I, II and III.

Synthesis of fragment I (Scheme 2) began with a palladium complex catalyzed hydrostannation reaction9 of but-2-yndiol to give E-alkenyltin reagent 5 in which the more accessible alcohol function was selectively protected as tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether in 64% yield over two steps.10 Synthesis of the fragment III (Scheme 2) was performed via the Corey alkynylation reaction.11 Commercially available 3,3-dimethylacrolein was reacted with the reagent prepared from carbon tetrabromide, zinc and triphenylphosphine to give gem-dibromodiene 7. Treatment of 7 with butyllithium (2 equiv) followed by addition of trimethyltin chloride afforded the corresponding alkynyl stannane 8 in 88% yield over two steps. If we want to realize a Negishi coupling the alkynyllithium can be trapped by zinc dibromide to afford the nonisolable alkynylzinc 9.

With fragments I and III in hand, we next focussed our attention towards the synthesis of fragment II which was found more difficult than the two others. The main prob-
lem is to prepare a functionalised homoallylic aldehyde controlling the regio- and stereoselectivity of the double bond. The synthesis began with the formation of the allenylaluminum reagent, from propargyl bromide promoted by a catalytic amount of mercuric chloride, which reacts at low temperature with triethyl orthoformate to give the corresponding 1,1-diethoxybut-3-yne (10). Then, the methylation reaction of 10 was performed with 1) lithium amide in ammonia and 2) methyl iodide to afford 11 in 65% yield. The next step is the construction of the vinyl iodide with an E configuration. First of all, we turned our attention to the hydrozirconation-iododemetallation reactions using the Schwartz’s reagent. Unfortunately, after several experiments, these conditions did not furnish the expected alkenyl iodide. Similar results were observed with the carboalumination-iododemetallation reaction described by Miller using a chloroalkyne instead of methylalkyne 11 as starting material. Another method to create functionalised double bond is to use Kulinkovich’s reagent well studied by Sato. Our first intention was to generate the alkyne-titanium complex, then add, at first, iodine then NH4Cl as electrophiles sources to obtain the desired alkenyl iodide 12. In this case, we observed 1) a low rate of conversion with 70% recovery of starting material, 2) the formation of two alkenyl iodide regioisomers 12 and 12’ (20%) in 1:1 ratio, and 3) 10% of alkene 13 produced from the hydrolysis of complex A (Scheme 3).

To explain the large amount of unreacted starting material 11, we propose that the intermediate iodo–titanium complex B can undergo a β-elimination process to form 11 (Scheme 3). To avoid this problem, we chose to invert the order of the addition of electrophiles (Scheme 4): the proton source was added first followed by the iodine. Using acetic acid as first electrophile, the reaction furnished a 73:27 regioisomeric mixture of 12 and 12’. With this encouraging result, we tried several proton sources at different temperatures. The best result was obtained when the reaction was conducted at –70 °C with isopropanol affording a 90:10 mixture of 12 and 12’ (Table 1).

Unfortunately, even though this reaction gave interesting results, these two regioisomers could not be separated by chromatography on silica gel, which is not acceptable for the final stages of the synthesis. So, we turned our atten-
tion towards the preparation of alkenyltin reagents, easily made by hydrostannation or stannylcupration reactions, and easier to separate than iodide analogues. The palladium-catalysed hydrostannation reaction furnished in 68% yield the corresponding alkenyltin reagent \( \text{14} \) and \( \text{14}' \) as a 1:1 mixture of two regioisomers. To increase the regioselectivity of the reaction, we realised a stannylcupration reaction.\(^{18} \) Using three equivalents of Lipshutz’s reagent, at \(-78 °C\), a 8:2 mixture of \( \text{14}/\text{14}' \) was obtained in 90% yield. A similar reaction conducted in methanol and at \(-10 °C\) furnished, in 78% yield, a separated 88:12 mixture in favour of \( \text{14} \). The attribution of the configuration of the double bond was based on the H–Sn coupling constant \((3^J_{\text{Sn,H}} = 65 \text{ Hz})\) which is consistent with an \( \text{E}-\text{vinylstannane} \). Iododestannylation reaction\(^{19} \) with iodine in diethyl ether afforded in 99% the corresponding alkenyl iodide \( \text{12} \) with retention of configuration (Scheme 5).

The assembly of the three fragments began with the Negishi cross-coupling between the alkynylzinc reagent \( \text{9} \) and the vinyl iodide \( \text{12} \) (Scheme 5).\(^{20} \) This reaction, catalysed by 5% of \( \text{PdCl}_2(\text{MeCN})_2 \), furnished in 80% yield the desired compound \( \text{15} \). To realise the second assembly, the acetal function must be deprotected to an aldehyde. We used several conditions (formic acid,\(^{21} \) acetic acid, \( \text{FeCl}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O} \),\(^{22} \) \( \text{LiBF}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O} \)), but none gave satisfactory results. In all the case, the desired aldehyde \( \text{16} \) was obtained as a mixture with the aldehyde that results from the isomerisation of the central double bond (more than 30%) and other undetermined aldehydic products. Such a compound being very sensitive in the following reaction condition prompted us to invert the coupling steps by first coupling the central fragment \( \text{II} \) with the fragment \( \text{I} \). But once again, the obtaining of the corresponding aldehyde (\( \text{17} \)) was a problem. Even using neutral conditions, such as 5 to 10% of \( \text{FeCl}_3 \) or 5 equivalents of water in refluxing acetone, the reaction well furnished the corresponding aldehyde \( \text{17} \). However, the aldehyde was unstable on silica gel, and the presence of other impurities made the purification of the crude aldehyde difficult, and it was not pure enough to be used at the end of the synthesis.

The hydrolysis of the ketal function revealing as a real problem, we chose to introduce the aldehyde via an alcohol. So we revised the synthesis of the central fragment and started from 2,3-dihydrofuran (Scheme 6). The Wadman–Kocienski rearrangement, as already described by Pancrazi et al., afforded \( \text{19} \) with complete regio- and stereoselectivity.\(^{24} \) The configuration of the double bond was established on the basis of the H–Sn coupling constant \((3^J_{\text{Sn,H}} = 70 \text{ Hz})\) which is consistent with an \( \text{E}-\text{alkenylstannane} \). The alkenylstannane \( \text{19} \) was also prepared from \( \text{but-3-yn-1-ol} \) using the following sequence. The lithium acetylide derived from \( \text{but-1-ynol} \) was alkylated with methyl iodide to give \( \text{pentynol} \).\(^{13} \) The stereo- and regioselective stannylcupration using Lipshutz reagent in the presence of methanol cleanly furnished vinyltin reagent \( \text{19} \) in 78% yield. Iododestannylation reaction with iodine in diethyl ether afforded quantitatively the corresponding iodopentenol \( \text{20} \) which was then oxidized with Dess–Martin periodinane\(^{25} \) providing the central segment \( \text{17} \). The sensitive iodo aldehyde was found to be sufficiently pure to be used without purification (41% over four steps).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \text{H}^+ )</th>
<th>( \text{T (°C)} )</th>
<th>( \text{Time (h)} )</th>
<th>( \text{12 (%)} )</th>
<th>( \text{12' (%)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} )</td>
<td>–50–60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} )</td>
<td>–50–60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{i-PrOH} )</td>
<td>–50–60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{t-BuOH} )</td>
<td>–50–60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scheme 5

Synthesis of \( \text{12} \) via iododestannylation reaction. **Reagents and conditions**: a) \( \text{Bu}_3\text{SnCuLi-LiCN} \) (3 equiv), \(-78 °C\); b) \( \text{I}_2 \), \( \text{Et}_2\text{O} \); c) \( \text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2 \), THF, r.t.
The coupling of the different fragments 6, 8 and 17 and the construction of carbon skeleton of the caulerpenyne is described in Scheme 7. The coupling reaction between the second segment 17 and the carbanion generated by tin–lithium exchange reaction 9 on the first segment 6 gave diol 21 in fair yield (56%). At this stage, the two hydroxyl groups of 21 were protected as acetate using acetic anhydride and a catalytic amount of DMAP in pyridine to give bis-acetate 22 (96%). The carbon skeleton 23 of caulerpenyne was achieved in a high yield through a Stille cross-coupling between the vinyl iodide 22 and the fragment III (8) using 5 mol% of bis-acetonitrile palladium chloride in DMF.20b,26 At this stage, it should be noted that no isomerisation product resulting from a palladium insertion reaction into the allylic acetate moiety was observed proving that the carbon–iodine insertion of palladium is largely favoured over the allylic acetate insertion.

Desilylation27 of 23 by the HF/pyridine complex provided primary alcohol 24,28 which was oxidized by Dess–Martin reagent, affording (+)-taxifolial A [(+)-2] in 96% yield. Subsequent transformation of 2 into caulerpenyne (1) failed: upon attempted trapping the dienyl enol of taxifolial A using acetic anhydride in the presence of potassium acetate (3 equiv) only iso-caulerpenyne was obtained in 88% yield.29

To explain the configuration of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety of iso-caulerpenyne, we propose that the dienol having the Z,Z configuration is kinetically formed from taxifolial A in its s-cis conformation (Scheme 8).

In order to find optimal conditions to generate (Z,E)-diacetoxybutadiene, we decided to prepare a model compound that would be able to lead to the above mentioned moiety. Hence, we planned to prepare a simplified analogue of taxifolial A using a similar procedure (Scheme 9). Enal 28 was obtained in 56% yield over 4 steps from 6.30
Firstly, we tested acetate salts other than potassium acetate (Table 2). The reactions were performed with 1.5 equivalents of M(OAc)₅, 3 equivalents of acetic anhydride at 80 °C and followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. CuOAc, Cu(OAc)₂, Mg(OAc)₂, and Pb(OAc)₂ did not give the expected diacetoxybutadienyl derivatives and led to a complex mixture of several unidentified products, among them numerous aldehydes. LiOAc, CsOAc and Zn(OAc)₂ afforded cleanly a mixture of isomers 29, 30 and 31. As a slight trend, if the cation exhibits some Lewis acidity, the E/Z-isomer was obtained in each case. Nevertheless, the desired isomer 29 was always obtained as the minor isomer.

Tertiary amines were also used. Et₃N as base/solvent, 3 equivalents of Ac₂O with 5% of DMAP at 80 °C gave better results than those observed in the use of M(OAc)₅. Reactions, checked by GC, were rapid and afforded cleanly a 24:75:<1 mixture of E/Z/Z/E isomers. The same reaction performed with 1 or 3 equivalents of DMAP gave the best results with a ratio of 45:55 of E/Z/Z/Z isomers with no evidence of 31 being formed. Other amines such as pyridine or Hunig’s base led to a larger amount of isomer 31. It should be noted that treatment of enal 28 with LiHMDMS, NaHMDMS or KHMDS at −78 °C in THF followed by quenching with Ac₂O did not furnish the desired dienes.

Finally, we applied the following conditions – 3 equivalents of Ac₂O, 1 equivalent of DMAP, Et₃N at 80 °C – to taxifolial A (2) that yielded a 96% mixture of 40:60 of (±)-caulerpenyne [(±)-1] with the E,Z configuration of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety and iso-(±)-caulerpenyne [iso-(±)-1] with the ZZ configuration (Scheme 10). Their stereochemistry was established by the ¹H NMR spectrum (Jₐᵥ = 12.7 Hz characteristic of H-H E-coupling constant and Jᵥₓ = 7.3 Hz characteristic of H-H Z-coupling constant) and a ¹H NMR NOESY experiment (presence of cross peak between Hₐ and Hₖ and presence of low relationship between Hₐ and Hₖ). Moreover, the data of the synthetic (±)-caulerpenyne [(±)-1] are in agreement with those reported in the literature (500 MHz ¹H NMR CDCl₃ and C₁₂D₁₂ and 125 MHz ¹³C NMR CDCl₃). Moreover, the mixture (±)-1 and iso-(±)-1 was purified by semi-preparative chiral HPLC to give (+)-1, (−)-1, iso- (+)-1 and iso-(−)-1 in enantiomerically pure forms.

**Table 2** Tested Reaction Conditions for the Preparation of Diacetoxybutadiene 29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>29 (%)</th>
<th>30 (%)</th>
<th>31 (%)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>29 (%)</th>
<th>30 (%)</th>
<th>31 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiOAc, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Et₃N, DMAP (5 mol%), 80 °C</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuOAc, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Et₃N, DMAP (1 equiv), 80 °C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(OAc)₂, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Et₃N, DMAP (3 equiv), 80 °C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(OAc)₂, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Et₃N, DMAP (5 mol%), r.t.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(OAc)₂, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Et₃N, DMAP (1 equiv), 80 °C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsOAc, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pyridine, DMAP (5 mol%), r.t.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(OAc)₂, C₆H₆, 80 °C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pyridine, DMAP (5 mol%), 80 °C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₃N, 80 °C</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>i-Pr₂NEt, DMAP (1 equiv), r.t. to 80 °C</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>i-Pr₂NEt, DMAP (1 equiv), 80 °C</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On top of the biological activity of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety, we wanted to know if the terminal unsaturated alkyl chain of CYN has a role. So, we investigated the synthesis of dihydrohiptopcephalin (3), a sesquiterpene isolated from *Penicillus capitatus* and *Udoea cyathiformis* and which represents 10% of the chloroform extracts in both species. Dihydrohiptopcephalin (3) exhibits the same structure as CYN (1) except the internal triple bond which is replaced by a single bond. Our synthesis plan for dihydrohiptopcephalin (3) was based on that used for 1 and called for the initial preparation of two fragments I and II in which fragment I was the same as that used in the synthesis of CYN (1). Homogeranial (fragment II) can be obtained from the corresponding homogeranial 32.

Compound 32 was synthesized in two steps using Kocienki’s procedure where the key step is the stereo- and regioselective construction of the central trisubstituted double bond through a Wenkert reaction. Homogeranial 33 was then obtained by Dess–Martin periodinane oxida-
tion of the primary hydroxyl group of homogeraniol 32 in quantitative yield. The coupling of the two fragments 6 and 26 was achieved via the cross-coupling reaction between 33 and the carbamion generated by tin–lithium exchange reaction on 6 which gave diol 34 in fair yield (47%). At this stage, the two hydroxyl groups were protected as acetates and desilylation of 35 by the HF/pyridine complex provided 36. The primary hydroxyl group was oxidized by Dess–Martin periodinane furnishing in quantitative yield the aldehyde 37. A mixture of 3 equivalents of Ac₂O, 1 equivalent of DMAP, Et₃N at 80 °C afforded, in 80% yield, a mixture of 45:55 of (±)-dihydrorhipocephalin [(±)-3] with E,Z configuration of diacetoxybutadiene moiety and (±)-iso-dihydrorhipocephalin [iso-(±)-3] with Z,Z configuration (Scheme 11). The configuration of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety was confirmed by 1H NMR and 1H NMR NOESY experiments. The mixture (±)-3 and iso-(±)-3 was purified by semi-preparative chiral HPLC to give iso-(+)-3, iso-(–)-3, (+)-3 and (–)-3 in enantiomeric pure form.

**Enantioselective Synthesis of Furocaulerpin**

Finally, the last natural compound, analogue of 1, was furocaulerpin (4), extracted and identified from *Caulerpa prolifera* by De Napoli et al. in 1981. 33 Compared to 1, this secondary metabolite presents a furan ring instead of a diacetoxybutadiene moiety. Our synthesis plan of furocaulerpin (4) was also based on that used for CYN and called for the initial preparation of two fragments. Thus, construction of 4 involved a Stille reaction between the residual vinyl iodide function of a first fragment and an alkynylstannane 8 (second fragment) already prepared for the synthesis of CYN (1). For this analogue, we opted for an enantioselective synthesis where the control of the chiral centre would be achieved through an enzymatic resolution (Scheme 12).

The synthesis of the first fragment (Scheme 13) began with condensation of allenylmagnesium bromide to 3-furaldehyde furnishing the crude alcohol 38 in 99% yield.34 The next step was methylation of 38. First the hydroxyl function was protected as tetrahydropyranyl ether using dihydropyran in the presence of small amount of PPTS. Then the methylation of protected 38 was performed with 1) LiNH₂/NH₃ and 2) MeI to afford 39 in 99% yield over three steps. After acetic acid hydrolysis of 39 (84%), we turned our attention to the enzymatic resolution of alcohol 41. To this end, we examined the transesterification of 41 with vinyl acetate and various lipases under standard conditions. Best results in conversion rate and in enantiomeric excess were obtained with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (see Table 3). In a study of enzymatic resolution of secondary alcohols by the lipases from *Pseudomonas* sp. Burgess has proposed a simple ac-
tive site model for predicting the enantioselectivity.\textsuperscript{35,36} This model predicts that alcohols resolved most efficiently have one small and one relatively large group attached to the hydroxymethine functionality. According to this model, we assume that only R-isomer of 41 was transformed into acetate 42. After 26 hours at room temperature, the active enzyme was recovered for re-use after filtration. Separation by chromatography on silica gel afforded a 57\% yield of the alcohol (–)-41 (65\% ee) and a 37\% yield of the acetate (+)-42 $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=+11.4\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3), 92\% \text{ ee}\}$. The remaining alcohol (–)-41 was re-subjected to the same conditions of enzymatic transesterification using the recovered enzyme. The progress of the reaction was monitored by chiral phase analytical GC until one enantiomer of the starting material was completely consumed. After 100 h, (–)-41 $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=–32.8,\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\}$ was obtained in 40\% overall yield and 99\% ee.

Starting from (–)-41 and (+)-42, the first fragment was prepared via the stannylcupration of (–)-41 using the stannyl cuprate Bu$_3$Sn(Bu)CuLi·LiCN (Lipshutz reagent) at –78 °C gave the corresponding alcohol.

In contrast, clean access of (–)-43 from (–)-41 was found to depend on temperature and the presence of methanol. In each case and independently of the number of equivalent of the Lipshutz reagent, the stannylcupration in presence or not of methanol was incomplete (10\% of conversion without MeOH at –78 °C, 70\% with MeOH at –40 °C and 76\% at –10 °C). After purification, pure vinylstannane (+)-43 $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=+11.7\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\}$ was obtained in 43\% yield. Iododestannylation of (+)-43 and (–)-43 with iodine in diethyl ether yielded respectively 99\% and 96\% of the corresponding vinyl iodides (+)-44 $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=+12.3\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\}$ and (–)-44 $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=–13.0\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\}$. The hydroxyl group of (+)-44 and (–)-44 was protected as acetate using acetic anhydride and a catalytic amount of DMAP in pyridine providing respectively (+)-45 in 93\% yield $\{[\alpha]_D^{24}=+25\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3), 92\% \text{ ee}\}$ and (–)-45 in 99\% ee.

### Table 3 Results in Conversion Rate and in Enantiomeric Excess

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipase</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Candida antarctica</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida cylindracea</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucor miehei</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps. cepacia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps. fluorescens</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizopus arrhizus</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizopus niveus</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hog pancreas</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 13 Synthesis of furocaulerpin. Reagents and conditions: a) allenylmagnesium bromide, –78 to 0 °C; b) DHP, PPTS, CH$_3$Cl$_2$; c) (i) LiNH$_2$/NH$_3$, (ii) MeI; d) AcOH–H$_2$O–THF, 45 °C; e) vinyl acetate, hexane, Ps. fluorescens, 26 h; f) vinyl acetate, hexane, Ps. fluorescens, 100 h; (g) Bu$_3$Sn(Bu)CuLi·LiCN (4 equiv), MeOH, –10 °C; h) I$_2$, Et$_3$O, 0 °C to r.t.; i) Ac$_2$O, DMAP, pyridine; j) 8, PdCl$_2$(MeCN)$_2$, DMF.
87% yield \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -26.6\ (c = 1 \text{CHCl}_3)\), ee >99\%. The last step of the synthesis is the coupling reaction between alkanyl iodide \((+)-4\) and \((-)-45\) with stannylenyne 8 using 5 mol\% of bis-acetonitrile palladium chloride, giving non-natural \((+)-furocaulerpin \((+)-4\) \([\alpha]_D^{24} = +13.8\ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\) in 94\% yield and \((-)-furocaulerpin \((-)-4\) \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -14.6, c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3)\) in 90\% yield. The data of this synthetic \((-)-furocaulerpin \((-)-4\) \((500 MHz \, ^1H \text{NMR CDCl}_3, 50 MHz \, ^1C \text{NMR CDCl}_3 \text{and GC/MS})\) are in agreement with those reported in the literature.

### Biological Testing

The effect of each enantiomer of caulerpenyne (1), \(iso\)-caulerpenyne (\(iso\)-1) and analogues \((\pm)-2, \, iso\,(+)-3, \, iso\,(--)-3, \, (+)-3, \, (-)-3, \, (+)-4, \, (-)-4\), on the in vitro polymerisation of pure tubulin was investigated by turbidimetry. As for the natural product,\(^7\) tubulin (15 \(\mu\)M) was incubated for 35 min at 37 °C without (control) or with various concentrations of CYN or analogues in Mg\(^{2+}\)-free polymerisation buffer. After 35 min, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\) was added to the samples (Figure 2) to induce the formation of microtubules. In the control experiment, turbidity increased with time resulting in a typical sigmoid curve with a lag time, a drastic increase in the turbidity and a plateau value corresponding to the amount of microtubules formed (Figure 2, upper trace). With increasing concentrations of \((+)-caulerpenyne \((+)-1\)\), the rate of assembly as well as the final amount of microtubules were decreased and the turbidity generated by the self-assembly of 15 \(\mu\)M tubulin was reduced to half the control value at a concentration of 14 ± 2 \(\mu\)M (inset Figure 2). After 35 min of polymerisation process, the samples were cooled to 10 °C and all the samples totally depolymerised. Similar experiments were investigated for \((-)-caulerpenyne and its analogues \(iso\,(+)-1, \, iso\,(--)-1, \, (\pm)-2, \, iso\,(+)-3, \, iso\,(--)3, \, (+)-3, \, (+)-4\) and \((-)-4\) and are summarized in Table 4.

Both \((+)-\) and \((-)-dihydrorhipocephalin (3), as well as \(iso\,(+)-dihydrorhipocephalin [iso\,(+)-3], have a half inhibitory concentration IC\(_{50}\) around 50 \(\mu\)M. So, replacement of the internal triple bond by a CH\(_2\)–CH\(_2\) linkage leads to a non significant decrease of the activity on tubulin polymerisation. Curiously, in the case of \(iso\,(--)-dihydrorhipocephalin [iso\,(--)3], the activities of the two enantiomers were largely different as \(iso\,(+)-dihydrorhipocephalin [iso\,(+)-3] is three times more powerful than \(iso\,(--)dihydrorhipocephalin [iso\,(--)3].\)

Hence, the presence of the internal triple bond, the configuration of the chiral centre and the configuration of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety do not appreciably influence the activity on tubulin polymerisation compared to CYN.

However, racemic taxifolial A \((\pm)-2\) is inactive at a concentration below 200 \(\mu\)M and \((+)-\) and \((-)-furocaulerpin (4) have a half inhibitory concentration IC\(_{50}\) of about 100–200 \(\mu\)M. These results confirm that biological activities of CYN could be attributed to the diacetoxybutadiene moiety as has been predicted biologists.

### Conclusion

We have accomplished the first total synthesis of several metabolites isolated from algae order caulerpales. The activity on tubulin polymerisation of such terpenoids is mainly due to the presence of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety. The configuration of the chiral centre, the configuration of the diacetoxybutadiene moiety and the unsaturated terminal chain were not significant. Investigations to

### Table 4 Half-Inhibitory Concentrations of CYN and Analogues on Pure Tubulin Polymerisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolites</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural ((+)-caulerpenyne</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-)-caulerpenyne</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((+)-caulerpenyne</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((+)-furocaulerpin</td>
<td>101 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-)-furocaulerpin</td>
<td>171 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\pm)-taxifolial A</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iso,(+)-caulerpenyne(^{37})</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iso,(--)caulerpenyne(^{37})</td>
<td>54 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((+)-dihydrorhipocephalin(^{37})</td>
<td>52 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-)-dihydrorhipocephalin(^{37})</td>
<td>57 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iso,(+)-dihydrorhipocephalin(^{37})</td>
<td>40 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iso,(--)dihydrorhipocephalin(^{37})</td>
<td>123 ± 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
specify the interaction with the tubulin system are ongoing
and should help to provide new details concerning the
mechanism of action of this interesting class of terpenes.

All reactions sensitive to oxygen and moisture were carried out in
oven-dried glassware under a slight positive pressure of argon un-
less otherwise noted. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were deter-
mined on Bruker AC200, AC300, AM400 or AC500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts for 1H NMR were reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane as the internal standard and coupling constants are in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts for 13C NMR were reported in ppm relative to the central line of a triplet at 77.1 ppm for CDCl3. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 Fourier Transform Infrared spectrophotometer and are reported in wave numbers (cm−1). Mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a Hewlett Packard apparatus (engine 5989A) at 70 eV in the GC/MS mode. Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by the ratio of the peak areas obtained by GC separation using a chiral phase (WCOT Fused Silica 25 m × 0.25 mm, Coating CP CHIRALCEL-DEX CB DF = 0.25). High resolution mass spectra were measured on a Waters 2790 Micromass LCT electrospray ionisation mass spectrome-
ter and on a VG autospec chemical ionisation mass spectrometer.

Analytical TLC was performed on Merck precoated analytical plates, 0.25 mm thick, silica gel 60 F254. Flash column chromatog-
raphy was performed on Merck Kieselgel 60 (230–400 mesh). Re-
agents and solvents were commercial grades and were used as supplied. CH2Cl2, benzene, and toluene were distilled from CaH2
and stored over molecular sieves 4Å. THF and Et2O were distilled
from sodium benzophenone prior to use. DMF and hexanes were
deprotonated with Bu3SnH (9.68 mL, 36 mmol) over a period of 1 h.

After stirring for 15 min, THF was evaporated under vacuum. The crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (PE –
Et2O, 6:4 to 2:8) to give 5; yield: 11.3 g (99%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 0.84–0.93 (m, 15 H, 3 × CH3, 3 × CH2), 1.23–1.35 (m, 6 H, 3 × CH2), 1.43–1.53 (m, 6 H, 3 × CH2), 1.64 (br t, JH,H = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 1.73 (br t, JH,H = 5.2 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.18 (br t, JH,H = 5.4 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 4.36 (br d, JH,H = 3.7 Hz, JH,H = 37 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 5.77 (br t, JH,H = 5.4 Hz, JH,H = 67 Hz, 1 H, CH).

(E)-4-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-tributylstannylbut-2-en-1-ol

To a solution of 5 (3.8 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (100 mL) at 0 °C was
added imidazole (0.68 g, 10 mmol) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (1.5 g, 10 mmol). The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 6 h,
then crushed ice (2.5 g) was added. The solution was diluted with
Et2O (200 mL), washed with sat. aq NH4Cl solution, dried (MgSO4)
and evaporated. The crude product was then purified by flash chro-
matography (PE–Et2O, 10:0 to 9:1) to give 6; yield: 3.19 g (65%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 0.06 (s, 6 H, 2 × CH3), 0.84–0.92
(m, 15 H, 3 × CH3, 3 × CH2), 0.88 (s, 9 H, 3 × CH3), 1.23–1.53 (m, 12 H, 6 × CH2), 1.80 (br t, JH,H = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.20 (br d, JH,H = 5.4 Hz, JH,H = 15 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 4.32 (br d, JH,H = 5.5 Hz, JH,H = 37 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 5.68 (br t, JH,H = 5.4 Hz, JH,H = 69 Hz, 1 H, CH).

1.1-Dibromo-4-methylpent-1-ene (7)11h

CBr2 (24.87 g, 75 mmol), PhP (19.67 g, 75 mmol) and Zn dust (4.9 g, 75 mmol) were placed in a dry 500-mL round-bottomed flask under
N2. The flask was cooled to 0 °C and CH2Cl2 (300 mL) was added
to the mixture of the solids, giving a green suspension. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. and then was stirred for 24 h.
After which time it was pink in colour. 3-Methyl-2-ene (2.89 mL, 30 mmol) was then added via syringe and the mixture stirred for a further 2 h. The now purple suspension was transferred to a large conical flask, pentane (400 mL) was added and the result-
ant solution was filtered. The residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2
(200 mL) and then more pentane (500 mL) was added. This was also filtered and the combined filtrates were concentrated to a co-
colourless oil. This was triturated with pentane (20 mL) and filtered through a short pad of silica to remove Ph2PO. After removal of sol-
vents in vacuum, gem-dibromodiene 7 was obtained as colourless oil; yield: 7.20 g (quant).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.74 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.78 (s, 3 H, CH3), 5.83 (m, JH,H = 10.6 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.07 (d, JH,H = 10.6 Hz, 1 H, CH).

1-Trimethylsilyl-4-methylpent-3-en-1-yne (8)

To a solution of 7 (1 g, 4.2 mmol) in THF (15 mL) under N2 at
–78 °C, was added dropwise BuLi (2.5 M, 3.5 mL, 8.8 mmol). The clear yellow solution was stirred at –78 °C for 1.5 h and then
Me3SnCl (710 mg, 3.57 mmol) was added. After warming to rt., the mixture was quenched with H2O and the aqueous phase was extract-
ed with Et2O. The combined organic layers were washed with H2O,
dried (MgSO4), filtered and concentrated under vacuum to give 8; yield: 763 mg (88%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 0.25 (s, JH,H = 59 Hz, 9 H, 3 × CH3), 1.74 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.87 (s, 3 H, CH3), 5.25 (s, 1 H, CH).

13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ = –7.7 (d, JH,C = 404 Hz, CH2), 21.1 (CH3), 24.7 (CH3), 94.8 (C), 105.7 (CH), 107.3 (C), 149.1 (C).

11Sn NMR (149.21 MHz, CDCl3): δ = –67.73.

MS (EL, 70 eV); m/z (%, organotin fragments = 244 (M+*, 18), 229 (100), 199 (32), 120 (10).
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To a solution of 7 (0.72 g, 3 mmol) in anhyd Et2O (2 mL), was added dropwise I2 (0.136 g, 0.45 mmol) in anhyd Et2O (2 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et2O. The organic layers were washed with sat. aq Na2S2O3 solution, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by distillation (bp 113 °C/50 Torr) to give 1,1-diethoxybut-2-ene (11): yield: 1.30 g (90%).

1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 0.65–1.00 (m, 15 H, 3 CH3, 3 CH3), 1.18 (t, JHH = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, 2 CH3), 1.82 (t, JHH = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 3.41–3.72 (m, 2 H, CH2), 4.50 (t, JHH = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CH).

13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 14.8 (CH3), 17.8 (CH3), 20.9 (CH3), 27.9 (CH3), 35.3 (CH3), 61.2 (2 CH2), 102.7 (CH), 134.8 (2 CH2), 140.6 (C).


(Pent-3-yn-1-ol)(18)13

To a solution of Li (0.367 g, 0.0528 mol), Fe(NO3)3 (15 mg) and NH3 (0.2 g, 2.38 mmol) in anhyd Et2O (2 mL), was added dropwise (E)-2-iodo-5,5-diethoxypent-2-ene (12) (0.5 g, 3.2 mmol) at −40 °C. The mixture was stirred for 40 °C for 2 h, then MeI (4.11 mL, 66 mmol) was added. After 12 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq NH4Cl solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE–EtOAc, 6:4 to 6:1) to give pent-3-yn-1-ol (18): yield: 610 mg (56%).

IR (film): 3340, 1046 cm⁻1.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.90 (t, JHH = 6.1 Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.42 (q, JHH = 6.1, 2.6 Hz, 2 H, CH2), 3.69 (q, JHH = 6.1 Hz, 2 H, CH2).

13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 29.7 (CH3), 57.6 (CH7), 71.1 (C).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 84 (M⁺, 16), 55 (13), 54 (100), 53 (40), 52 (10), 51 (18), 50 (13), 43 (10), 41 (11), 39 (59).

(E)-4-Trimethylstannylpent-3-en-1-ol (19)14

CuCl (0.639 g, 7.13 mmol) was suspended in freshly distilled THF (20 mL), cooled at −78 °C and treated with BuLi in hexane (2.5 M, 5.7 mL, 14.3 mmol). The mixture was allowed to react until a homogenous solution was obtained. Then, at −78 °C, Bu3SnH (3.84 g, 13.2 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe. Stirring was continued and, over ca. 10 min, the solution turned yellow and H2 gas was liberated. MeOH (10.59 mL, 0.26 mol) was then added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to 40 °C and pent-3-yn-1-ol (18; 0.2 g, 2.38 mmol) was added. The reaction was followed by TLC and quenched with sat. aq NH4Cl solution. The mixture was filtered and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (PE–EtOAc, 10:0 to 9:1) to give 19; yield: 700 mg (78%).
To a solution of 19 (0.355 g, 0.95 mmol) in anhyd Et₂O (4 mL), was added dropwise I₂ (0.29 g, 1.14 mmol) in anhyd Et₂O (4 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t. and quenched with 1 M aq Na₂S₂O₃ solution, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by chromatography (PE–Et₂O, 9:1 to 0:10) to give 20: yield: 193 mg (97%).

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ = 1.49 (br s, 6 H, CH₃), 1.89 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.29 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.39 (br s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.64 (br q, J₆,7H = 6.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 6.17 (br t, J₄,5H = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CH).

**19F NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ = 57.1 Hz, 1 H, CH₃.

**IR (film):** 1742, 1638, 1430, 1027, 837, 777 cm⁻¹.

**MS (PCI, CH₄, 70 eV):** m/z (%) = 437 (M⁺ – CH₂COO, 15), 379 (16), 378 (21), 377 (100), 249 (17), 245 (47), 159 (21), 135 (29), 85 (24), 61 (26).

**HRMS: m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₇O₃Si [M + H]⁺: 497.1202; found: 497.1215.**

**2-[2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethylidene]-1,3-diacetoxy-6,10-dimethylundecadiene-5-ene-3,1-diol (21)**

To a solution of 6 (1.66 g, 3.37 mmol) in THF (55 mL) at −78 °C was added dropwise BuLi (2.5 M, 3 mL, 7.41 mmol). The reaction mixture was warmed to −35 °C for 2 h and then cooled to −78 °C, then (E)-4-iodopent-3-en-1-al (17; 0.85 g, 4.04 mmol) was added dropwise. The solution was kept at −78 °C for 1 h and quenched with sat. aq NH₄Cl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with sat. aq Na₂S₂O₃ solution, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (PE–Et₂O, 8:2) to afford 21: yield: 778 mg (56%).

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ = 0.08 (s, 6 H, 2 CH₃), 0.89 (s, 9 H, 3 CH₃), 2.14 (br d, J₆,7H = 4.1 Hz, 1 H, CH), 2.30–2.46 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.38 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.60 (br t, J₄,5H = 6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.15–4.22 (m, 3 H, CH₂), 4.27 (d, J₆,7H = 6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 5.71 (t, J₆,7H = 6 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.16 (br t, J₄,5H = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CH).

**13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ = −5.2 (2 × CH₃), 18.3 (C), 25.9 (3 × CH₃), 27.9 (CH₃), 36.9 (CH₃), 58.1 (CH₃), 59.5 (CH), 74.6 (CH₂), 95.8 (C), 129.1 (CH), 136.8 (CH), 141.4 (C).

**MS (EI, 70 eV):** m/z (%) = 448 (M⁺ – CH₂, 19), 237 (155), 132 (103), 105 (21), 61 (24), 83 (10), 77 (16), 75 (84), 73 (76), 57 (11), 55 (11), 43 (100), 41 (27).

**HRMS: m/z calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₄Si [M + H]⁺: 449.2723; found: 449.2724.**

**4-Acetoxy-3-acetoxymethyl-7,11-dimethyldodecatri-2,6,10-en-8-yl-1-ol (24)**

To a solution of 23 (150 mg, 0.334 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was quickly added an excess of HF/pyridine (0.153 mL). The reaction was monitored by TLC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The crude product (95:5 ratio of isomers) was then purified by flash chromatography (PE–EtOAc, 6:4) to give 24: yield: 85 mg (76%).

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ = 1.79 (br s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.80 (d, J₆,7H = 1.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.87 (br s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.03 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.04 (s, 3 H, CH₂), 2.40–2.55 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 4.26 (d, J₆,7H = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 4.64 (d, J₆,7H = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, CH₃), 4.72 (d, J₆,7H = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, CH₃).
H1 NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ = 1.47 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.50 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.58 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.74 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.82 (s, 3 H, CH3), 2.21 (m, 2 H, CH2), 4.59 (d, JCH2 = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 4.76 (d, JCH2 = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 5.28 (t, JCH = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.43 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.83 (t, JCH = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.03 (d, JCH = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CH), 9.86 (d, JCH = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CH).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 18.0 (s, 3 H, CH3), 18.1 (s, 3 H, CH3), 18.7 (s, 3 H, CH3), 190.9 (C), 194.1 (C), 194.2 (C), 211.0 (CH3), 211.2 (CH3), 24.9 (CH3), 48.4 (C), 94.6 (C), 106.2 (CH2), 122.5 (CH2), 129.0 (CH2), 129.7 (CH2), 147.9 (C), 154.0 (C), 169.2 (C), 169.6 (C), 189.5 (CH).

(C2H5)2O and concentrated under vacuum to give crude taxifolial A [(±)-2]

To a stirred solution of alcohol 24 (46 mg, 0.14 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (3.5 mL) at 0 °C was added Dess–Martin periodinane (88 mg, 0.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at r.t. and monitored by TLC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was poured into a separating funnel containing sat. aq Na2S2O3/NaHCO3 solution (9 mL, 1:1) and shaken vigorously for 5 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et2O. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq NaHCO3 solution, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under vacuum to give crude taxifolial A [(±)-2]; yield: 52 mg (96%).

1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ = 1.13 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.17 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.20 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.58 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.75 (s, 3 H, CH3), 1.80 (s, 3 H, CH3), 2.21 (m, 2 H, CH2), 4.59 (d, JCH2 = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 4.76 (d, JCH2 = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 5.28 (t, JCH = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.43 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.83 (t, JCH = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.03 (d, JCH = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CH), 9.86 (d, JCH = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CH).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 18.0 (s, 3 H, CH3), 18.1 (s, 3 H, CH3), 18.7 (s, 3 H, CH3), 50.0 (d, JCH = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 5.10 (d, JCH = 13.8 Hz, 1 H, CH3), 5.32 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.33 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.67 (br, t, JCH = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.12 (d, JCH = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, CH), 10.09 (d, JCH = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, CH).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ = 17.9 (CH2), 20.1 (CH2), 20.2 (CH2), 21.0 (CH2), 24.6 (CH2), 32.8 (CH2), 59.1 (CH3), 73.0 (CH), 86.4 (C), 94.6 (C), 106.2 (CH2), 122.5 (CH2), 129.0 (CH2), 129.7 (CH2), 147.9 (C), 154.0 (C), 169.2 (C), 169.6 (C), 189.5 (CH).

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of caulerpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-caulerpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of caulerpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-caulerpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of caulerpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-caulerpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of caulerpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-caulerpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of caulerpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-caulerpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of cauterpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-cauterpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]

In a Schlenk tube, under N2, was placed taxifolial A [(±)-2; 50 mg, 0.15 mmol], DMAP (18.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), Ac2O (42 μL, 0.45 mmol) and Et2N (4 mL). The mixture was warmed to 80 °C and the reaction was monitored by GC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and purified by chromatography on silica gel (pentane–EtOAc, 8:2) to give a 40:60 mixture of cauterpenyne [(±)-1] and iso-cauterpenyne [(±)-1]; yield: 54 mg (96%).

Caulerpenyne [(±)-1]
To a solution of $\text{6 (0.935 g, 1.91 mmol)}$ in THF (30 mL) at $-78^\circ$C was added dropwise BuLi (2.5 M, 1.68 mL, 4.19 mmol). The reaction mixture was warmed to $-35^\circ$C for 2 h and then cooled to $-78^\circ$C, then homogernal of $\text{33 (0.380 g, 2.28 mmol)}$ was added dropwise. The solution was kept at $-78^\circ$C for 1 h and quenched with sat. aq NaHCO$_3$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (PE-Et$_2$O: 4:6) to afford 34; yield: 320 mg (47%).

$^1$H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 0.04 (s, 6 H, CH$_3$), 0.86 (s, 9 H, 3 × CH$_3$), 1.56 (s, 3 CH$_3$), 1.86 (s, 3 CH$_3$, 1.64 (s, 3 H, CH$_3$), 1.99 (s, 3 H, CH$_3$), 2.01 (s, 3 H, CH$_3$), 1.89–2.10 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH$_2$), 2.22–2.47 (m, 2 H, CH$_2$), 4.28 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 5.8 Hz, 2 H, CH$_2$), 4.59 (s, 2 H, CH$_2$), 4.96–5.06 (m, 2 H, 2 × CH$_2$), 5.21 (t, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.80 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 6.1 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.07 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CH), 10.06 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CH).

$^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$), 4.62 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$), 4.72 (d, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, CH$_2$), 5.01–5.06 (m, 2 H, 2 × CH$_2$), 5.21 (t, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.93 (t, $\text{j}_{\text{HH}}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CH).

$^1$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 16.2 (CH$_3$), 17.6 (CH$_3$), 20.9 (CH$_2$), 21.1 (CH$_3$), 25.6 (CH$_2$), 26.5 (CH$_2$), 32.3 (CH$_2$), 39.7 (CH), 58.3 (CH$_3$), 59.6 (CH$_3$), 75.3 (CH), 118.6 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 131.5 (C), 132.6 (CH), 134.6 (C), 138.4 (C), 170.2 (C), 171.0 (C).

HRMS: m/z calcd for C$_\text{34}$H$_\text{34}$O$_\text{4}$Si [M + H]: 332.2172; found: 339.2172.

To a stirred solution of $\text{36 (60 mg, 0.18 mmol)}$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) at 0°C was added Dess–Martin periodinane (150 mg, 0.35 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at r.t. and monitored by TLC. After disappearance of the starting material, the mixture was poured into a separating funnel containing sat. aq Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$/NaHCO$_3$ solution (15 mL, 1:1) and shaken vigorously for 5 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et$_2$O. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq NaHCO$_3$ solution, dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated under vacuum to give crude aldehyde 37; yield: 59 mg (quant).
119.2 (C), 124.0 (2C, CH), 131.60 (C), 131.61 (C), 134.0 (CH), 134.9 (CH), 137.0 (CH), 137.5 (CH), 138.8 (C), 138.9 (C), 167.2 (C), 167.3 (C), 167.5 (C), 167.9 (C), 170.1 (2 × C).

**Biology**

Lamb brain pure tubulin was purified from brain soluble extract by (NH₄)₂SO₄ fractionation and ion exchange chromatography. Then, pure tubulin was stored in liquid N₂ and prepared for use.° Tubulin concentration was determined spectrometrically at 275 nm in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride (E₂₇₅ = 1.09 L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹) or in 0.5% guanidine hydrochloride (E₂₇₅ = 1.09 L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹) or in 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate in neutral aqueous buffer (E₂₇₅ = 1.07 L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹) or in 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate in neutral aqueous buffer (E₂₇₅ = 1.07 L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹). The buffer solution used to purify polymerize tubulin consisted of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, 1 mM EGTA, 3.4 M glycerol, and 0.1 mM GTP, pH 6.95. After 35 min of incubation at 37 °C with CYN analogues or DMSO (control), the polymerisation reaction was started by addition of 10 mM MgCl₂. To determine the inhibitory effect, we calculated the difference between the polymerisation plateau value and the depolymerisation plateau value and expressed it in percent of inhibition relative to the control.
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