The Application of HETPHOX Ligands to the Asymmetric Intermolecular Heck Reaction of 2,3-Dihydrofuran and 2,2-Disubstituted-2,3-Dihydrofurans
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Abstract: A series of thiophene- and benzo thiophene-oxazoline containing ligands, were applied in the intermolecular asymmetric phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran 1. Phenylation proceeded in moderate to high chemical yields, with good regioselectivities and in up to 95% ee. Cyclohexenylation gave similarly high chemical yields and regioselectivities with the optimal result being a 96% yield of the major product in 97% ee. 2,2-Dialkyl-2,3-dihydrofurans were also tested as substrates and the phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,2-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran proceeded in high yields and ee’s up to 91% and 89%, respectively. The phenylation and cyclohexenylation of the 2,2-diethyl analogue proceeded in excellent yields and ee’s up to 99% and 87%, respectively. For each substrate, palladium complexes formed from the t-butyl-substituted ligand 10 gave the highest yields, regioselectivities, and enantioselectivities over the broad range of reaction conditions studied. 2,2-Diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran was prepared but was found to be unreactive in the intermolecular Heck reaction thus providing insight into the steric limits for 2,3-dihydrofuran substrates.
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Introduction

The Heck reaction, the palladium(0)-catalysed substitution of a vinylic hydrogen by an aryl, vinyl or benzyl group, is a highly versatile procedure for C–C bond formation.1 The potential of both intramolecular and intermolecular Heck reactions has been exploited in the key steps of many total syntheses and a better understanding of the reaction mechanism continues to emerge.2–4 The asymmetric variant has been extensively studied and applied in natural product total synthesis.5,6 Since the first enantioselective intermolecular Heck reactions by Hayashi using the diphosphine ligand BINAP,7 there has been a recent emphasis on the application of new classes of chiral ligands. The first use of P,N ligands in this reaction was reported by Pfaltz who described the arylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran (I, Scheme 1).8 In contrast to the regiosomer problem encountered by Hayashi who formed both the kinetic and thermodynamic products (2 and 3), the application of palladium complexes of diphenylphosphinooxazoline ligands 4 produced only (R)-2 in 97% ee.

Since that study a range of oxazoline-containing ligands have been applied to this reaction by the groups of Gilbertson, Hashimoto, and Hou with similar high levels of regioselectivity and enantioselectivities.9 We also reported the application of diphenylphosphinoferrocenoxazoline ligands which gave (R)-2 in moderate to good yields of up to 72% with good regioselectivity for phenylations with consistently high ee’s of up to 99%, while cyclohexenylation gave the related cyclohexenylated kinetic isomer in moderate to good yields of up to 75% and good ee’s up to 85%.10 We recently extended the application of diphenylphosphinoaryl oxazolines to the asymmetric intramolecular Heck reaction.11 Related (phosphinopheny)benzoxazine ligands from Kündig and Meier and iso-PINPHOS ligands from Kocovsky followed the same pat-
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tern of both high regioselectivity and enantioselectivity in the intermolecular Heck reaction.\textsuperscript{12,13} Tietze reported the synthesis of novel chiral thiophene-, benzothiophene- and benzofuran-oxazoline ligands \textit{5–10} and their application to palladium-catalysed allylic alylation.\textsuperscript{14} We have independently prepared the HETPHOX ligands (\textit{8–10}) and recently applied them to the iridium-catalysed asymmetric hydrogenation of olefins and imines.\textsuperscript{15} These ligands differ in the substitution pattern of the oxazoline unit and diphenylphosphino substituent on the heterocyclic ring. This, coupled with the electronic effect of the sulfur atom, provided an interesting combination of factors whose effects we were interested in studying in the intermolecular Heck reaction and we outlined in preliminary form the application of these ligands in the asymmetric phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran (\textit{1}).\textsuperscript{16} In this article, we wish to report in full on the synthesis of HETPHOX ligands (\textit{10, 12}), the application of the thiophene- and benzothiopheneoxazoline-containing ligands \textit{8–12} in the asymmetric Heck reactions employing 2,3-dihydrofuran (\textit{1}) and 2,2-dialkyl-2,3-dihydrofurans.
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\caption{Synthesis of Ligands}
\end{figure}

The approach taken to prepare ligands \textit{10 and 12} was to use the oxazoline unit to direct metallation followed by reaction with chlorodiphenylphosphine for the introduction of the diphenylphosphine group (Scheme 2). Following Williams’s procedure the thiopheneoxazolines \textit{14, 15} were prepared from 2-cyanothiophene (\textit{13}) in 83% and 85% yields, respectively, after chromatographic purification (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}–Et\textsubscript{2}O, 1:1).\textsuperscript{17} Although the procedure gives complete conversion and almost pure products, it is better to perform chromatographic purification in order to facilitate the subsequent metallation step. The choice of Et\textsubscript{2}O as solvent is crucial as performing the reaction in THF leads to the introduction of the diphenylphosphine group at the 5-position in low to moderate yields. Selective 3-metallation followed by the addition of chlorodiphenylphosphine afforded the desired ligands \textit{10 and 12} in moderate yields of 34% and 44%, respectively. This is a different route to that reported by Tietze, who formed 3-bromo-2-thiopheneoxazoline from 3-bromo-2-thiophene-carboxylic acid and then introduced the diphenylphosphino group by direct bromo-lithium exchange.\textsuperscript{14} The preparation of the benzothiophene ligands and their application in palladium-catalysed allylic substitution and ruthenium-catalysed transfer hydrogenation of ketones has recently been reported by us.\textsuperscript{18}
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\caption{Asymmetric Phenylation of 2,3-Dihydrofuran}
\end{figure}

Using 3 mol\% palladium(0) complexes formed in situ from Pd\textsubscript{2}(dba)\textsubscript{3} and ligands \textit{8 to 12}, the initial phenylations were carried out in toluene at reflux, conditions which previously afforded our optimal results using diphenylphosphinoferrocenylloxazolines ligands.\textsuperscript{10} These reaction conditions generally gave (\textit{R})-phenyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (\textit{2}) and (\textit{R})-phenyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (\textit{3}) in low yields with poor regioselectivities and moderate enantioselectivities. It was found that the catalyst systems were more reactive in benzene in which shorter reaction times of seven days were required and therefore benzene was the solvent employed in our further studies (Scheme 3, Table 1).
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The catalyst derived from ligand \textit{11}, containing the phenyl-substituted oxazoline ring, gave consistently good yields ranging from 48–66% of the kinetic isomer \textit{2}. The enantioselectivities were also good ranging from 68–77% (entries 1–3). The \textit{i}-Pr-substituted ligand \textit{8} was found to give lower yields (23–46%), although with higher ee’s of 70–89% (entries 4–6). The use of disopropylamine as...
base gave the optimum result of 33% yield and 87% ee although proton sponge gave a slightly higher ee of 89% but a considerably lower yield of 23%. The bulky \(\text{Pr}_2\text{NH}\)-substituted benzothiophene analogue \(9\) gave opposite regioselectivity in all cases, with the thermodynamic isomer \(3\) being favoured over \(2\) and the highest yield of the thermodynamic isomer \(3\) reaching 30%. Moderate ee’s of regioisomer \(R\)-3 were also obtained ranging from 13–21% (entries 7–9). Interestingly, although lower yields of the kinetic isomer \(2\) were seen, higher ee’s of 27–43% were obtained. These results are in contrast to those reported by Pfaltz, which showed increased reactivity and enantioselectivities with the ligand possessing the more bulky substituent. The thiophene-containing ligands \(10\) and \(11\) were also tested for their enantiodifferentiating ability in the phenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran. Ligand \(12\), containing a thiophene ring and an \(\text{Pr}_2\text{NH}\)-substituted oxazoline ring, provided a catalyst which gave moderate yields but quite high enantioselectivities of between 78–82% (entries 10–12). The use of diisopropylamine as base again gave the best yield (57%) for ligand \(12\) and a reasonable ee of 78%. The other bases tested, triethylamine and proton sponge gave slightly higher ee’s of 81% and 82%, but considerably lower yields (17% and 18%), respectively. Reactions using ligand \(10\) gave both good to excellent yields (up to 97%) and high ee’s irrespective of base employed (up to 95%) in all cases (entries 13–15). Our optimal result used diisopropylamine as the base with a palladium complex of ligand \(10\) in benzene, providing \((R\)-2 \(97%\) yield with excellent regioselectivity and in 95% ee within seven days (entry 15).

Of the benzothiopheneoxazoline-containing ligands \(8, 9, 11, 12\), reactions with ligand \(11\) were found to give the optimum results with both good ee’s and yields, unlike those with ligand \(8\), which, despite found to give slightly higher ee’s, gave poorer yields. Complexes derived from ligand \(9\), however, although expected to give higher enantioselectivities, a feature noted from our work with diphenylphosphinoferrocenoxazoline ligands and Pfaltz in his work with ligands \(4\), gave both poor yields and ee’s in the intermolecular asymmetric Heck reaction. Of the thiophenoaxazoline-containing ligands \(10\) and \(12\), ligand \(12\) gave good yields and high ee’s but was out-performed by ligand \(10\), the optimal ligand overall, in all cases.

### Asymmetric Cyclohexenylation of 2,3-Dihydrofuran

The asymmetric cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran (1) was also tested using palladium complexes of ligands 9–12 (Scheme 4, Table 2).
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Complexes derived from ligand \(11\) gave quite high yields (78% and 89%) in the cases where diisopropylamine and triethylamine were used as base, respectively, although the ee’s obtained were reasonable (54–76%). An unexpected result was obtained in the case where proton sponge was used as base in that the major regioisomer formed was that of the thermodynamic isomer \(18\) in 29% yield as opposed to 20% of the kinetic isomer \(17\) formed. The ee of the major thermodynamic isomer \(18\) was found to be quite low (20%) although interestingly a higher ee of the minor regioisomer \(17\) was observed (34%, entry 2). Application of ligand \(9\) proved again to give low yields ranging from 26–49% of the thermodynamic regioisomer.
ethylamine as base and this gave \((R)-2\)-cyclohex-1′-en-1′-yl-2,5-dihydrofuran (17) in 96% yield and 97% ee after seven days (entry 10).

The regioselectivities obtained above for both phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran with these HETPHOX ligands are further evidence for the preference of palladium phosphinamine complexes for the formation of the kinetic product. One explanation for the difference in product distribution between catalyst systems derived from diphosphines and phosphinamines is that the initial olefin-bound complex, formed after migratory insertion and \(\beta\)-elimination, is more prone to dissociation to give 2/17 in the \(\text{Pd(P-P)}\) catalyst systems than in the \(\text{Pd(P-N)}\) catalyst system, where a reverse \(\beta\)-elimination followed by \(\beta\)-elimination and dissociation affords 3/18 (Scheme 5).

Mechanistically it is clear that the thermodynamic products 3/18 can only be formed when there is a H substituent at C-2. A dihydrofuran disubstituted at this position would be a substrate that would provide a true comparative test of reactivity and enantioselectivity for a range of \(\text{Pd(0)}\) complexes. This is not the case using substrate 1 as the final isomer ratio and enantioselectivities are complicated by kinetic resolution processes. Therefore, 2,2-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (19) and its 2,2-diethyl analogue 20 were developed by us as substrates for the intermolecular asymmetric Heck reaction as they allow for a simple and direct comparison of various ligands in this reaction as only one regiosomeric product can be formed. We had previously tested a range of phosphinamine ligands with substrates 19 and 20 and it was of interest to determine the efficacy of the new HETPHOX ligands.

**Asymmetric Heck Reactions with 2,2-Dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran**

We tested the full range of ligands previously applied in reactions with 2,3-dihydrofuran in the phenylation of 2,2-
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dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (Scheme 6). Complexes derived from ligand 8 were quite unreactive giving very low yields (1–7%). Ligand 11, when applied, fared little better, giving a yield of only 10%. These results are in marked contrast to those obtained with 2,3-dihydrofuran where complexes derived from ligand 11 gave good yields up to 66% and ee’s up to 77% while palladium complexes of ligand 8 also gave moderate yields (23–46%) and ee’s (70–89%). Reactions with ligand 12 afforded good yields ranging from 60–74% and good to high enantioselectivities of 64–84% (Table 3, entries 1–3). These results compare favourably with the phenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran where yields up to 57% and enantioselectivities of up to 82% were observed. However, as in our phenylation studies with 2,3-dihydrofuran, the use of palladium complexes derived from ligand 10 afforded excellent yields with high enantioselectivities (entries 4–6). The use of triethylamine as base was optimal in terms of enantioselectivity (91%) whereas employment of proton sponge and diisopropylamine led to higher yields (91% and 98%) although with lowered enantioselectivities (75% and 77%), respectively.

Table 3: Phenylation of 2,2-Dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran 19 with Palladium Complexes of Ligands 10, 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield (%) a</th>
<th>ee (%) b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>i-Pr₂NH</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Proton sponge</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i-Pr₂NH</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Proton sponge</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Et₃N</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Conversions by GC (SE-30, 30 m, 11 psi He).
b Enantiomeric excesses were determined by GC on a Chiraldex γ-cycloexodextrin TFA capillary column (30 m × 0.25 m, 15 psi He); [65–95 °C, 0.3 °C, 95–120 °C, 1.5 °C min⁻¹, 120 °C, 10 min, [tₘ₈ = 40.28 (S) and 41.24 (R) min for 24].

Asymmetric Heck Reactions with 2,2-Diethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran

We have previously investigated 2,2-diethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (20) as a substrate for intermolecular asymmetric Heck reactions catalysed by palladium complexes of BINAP and a range of diphenylphosphinoaryloxazoline ligands. We tested complexes formed from ligands 10,
12 in the phenylation and cyclohexenylation of dihydrofuran 20 (Scheme 8) and the results of that investigation are given in Table 5.
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**Table 5** Phenylation and Cyclohexenylation of 2,2-Diethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>i-Pr2NH</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Proton sponge</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Et3N</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i-Pr2NH</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Proton sponge</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Et3N</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Conversions by GC (SE-30, 30 m, 1 psi He).
* Enantiomeric excesses were determined by GC on a Chiraldex γ-cyclodextrin TFA capillary column (30 m × 0.25 m, 15 psi He); (80–92 °C, 0.3 °C min⁻¹, 92–130 °C, 5 °C min⁻¹, tR = 52.0 (S) and 52.4 (R) min for 26) and 65–95 °C, 0.3 °C min⁻¹, 95–105 °C, 1 °C min⁻¹, 105–130 °C, 5 °C min⁻¹, 10 min, tR = 79.3 (S) and 79.9 (R) min for 27).

The yields obtained in the reaction with phenyl triflate were poor for complexes derived from ligand 12 (16–23%) and the enantioselectivities were modest (51–61%, entries 1–3). We were pleased to see that catalysts formed from ligand 10 were highly active and enantioselective with our optimal result being the formation of (R)-26 in 98% yield and with 99% ee when triethylamine was used as base (entry 6). In our previous study employing dihydrofuran 20 as substrate our best result was a 74% yield of (R)-26 in 94% ee using the palladium complex formed from ligand 4b. We observed similar trends in the cyclohexenylolation of dihydrofuran 20 with poor yields (9% and 18%) and modest enantioselectivities (38% and 47%) when ligand 12 was employed and far superior yields (59% and 93%) and enantioselectivities (76% and 87%) for complexes derived from ligand 10 (entries 7–10). Again our best result here compares favourably with our previous study of the cyclohexenylolation of 20, in which, with a catalyst formed from ligand 4b, we obtained (R)-27 in 93% ee but in 24% yield. It is also worth noting that the reaction time here is seven days compared to fourteen days in our previous study. We had previously attributed the decline in chemical yields in Heck reactions on changing substrates from 2,2-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (19) to 2,2-diethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (20) to the increased bulk at the 2-position and a consequential increase in ligand-reactant steric interactions in the migratory insertion step. This does not appear to be a factor for complexes derived from ligand 12 and it was therefore of interest to probe the substrate scope for this ligand by preparing and testing 2,2-diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (28, Figure 3) in the intermolecular asymmetric Heck reaction.

![Figure 3](image)

**Synthesis of 2,2-Diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran**

We initially chose to investigate the same synthetic route which had proven successful for the preparation of the 2,2-dialkylated-2,3-dihydrofurans 19 and 20. However, the first step in that route, the base-promoted addition of propargylic alcohol to the appropriately substituted ketone, 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanone, was unsuccessful. After investigating a variety of other pathways we noted that Katritzky had reported the preparation of 2,2-diisopropyl-dihydrofuran-2-one (29) which we had envisaged could be transformed to 2,2-diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (28) after reduction and dehydration. We prepared 2,2-diisopropyl-dihydrofuran-2-one (29) according to Katritzky’s procedure and reduced it to the corresponding lactol 30 in 76% yield by reduction with DIBAL (Scheme 9). Subsequent reaction with methanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine promoted elimination to give the required 2,3-dihydrofuran 29 in 56% yield.

We then tested 2,2-diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (28) for its suitability as a substrate in the intermolecular Heck reaction, initially in a racemic sense so that we could determine the conditions for obtaining yields and enantiomeric excesses by GC analysis. We subjected 28 to our standard phenylation and cyclohexenylolation conditions using palladium complexes of racemic BINAP. However, this
failed to yield any of the desired products even after reaction times of 14 days in either toluene or benzene and variation of the base employed. As the palladium complex derived from BINAP may be too bulky for association of a sterically hindered alkene such as 2,2-diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (28) we then tested palladium complexes derived from the less bulky ligands 10 and 12. However, we again failed to form any of the desired Heck products. The possible explanations for the inactivity of this substrate are that the alkene association step is being retarded due to the bulk of the isopropyl groups or that migratory insertion is prevented if alkene association had indeed occurred.

Mechanistic Considerations

For the phenylation of 2,3-dihydrofurans 1, 19 and 20 the possible intermediates prior to migratory insertion in the palladium catalyst derived from ligand 10 are shown in Schemes 10 and 11. The alkene can be bound to palladium by either of its faces and can bind in a trans-fashion to the nitrogen atom, Scheme 10, or the phosphorous atom, Scheme 11. Similar intermediates have been proposed by Hallberg for an intramolecular Heck reaction with ligand 4b.24

When the alkene approaches trans to nitrogen there seems to be little steric repulsion in binding either face of the alkene. Intermediate (A) would lead to the (R)-configured product while intermediate (B) would lead to the (S) product. If there were little energy difference between either intermediate a low ee would be expected if migratory insertion occurred in this way. When the approach is trans to phosphorous, intermediate (C) suffers steric repulsion but intermediate (D) does not. This route for migratory insertion would lead to a high ee of the (R) product and this is what is seen experimentally in the study completed herein. The coordination of groups after oxidative addition to Pd complexes containing P,N ligands has received some study by the groups of van Leeuwen and Vrieze.25

Conclusions

We have prepared two new HETPHOX ligands (10 and 12) and applied them and a series of thiophene- and benzothioephenooxazoline-containing ligands in the intermolecular asymmetric phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,3-dihydrofuran (1). Phenylation proceeded in moderate to high chemical yields, with good regioselectivity and in up to 95% ee. Cyclohexenylation gave similarly high chemical yields and regioselectivities with the optimal result being a 96% yield of the major product in 97% ee. 2,2-Dialkyl-2,3-dihydrofurans were tested as substrates and the phenylation and cyclohexenylation of 2,2-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (19) proceeded in high yields and ee’s up to 91% and 89%, respectively. The phenylation and cyclohexenylation of the 2,2-diethyl analogue 20 proceeded in excellent yields and ee’s up to 99% and 87%, respectively. For each substrate palladium complexes formed from the t-butyl-substituted ligand 10 gave the highest yields, regioselectivities and enantioselectivities. 2,2-Diisopropyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (28) was prepared but was found to be unreactive in the intermolecular Heck reaction thus providing insight into the steric limits for 2,3-dihydrofuran substrates.
1H and 13C spectra were recorded at 270 (67.5 MHz) or 500 (125 MHz) MHz at ambient temperature on JOEL INE-PMX-270 MHz or Varian-Unity 500 MHz spectrometers with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Peak assignments were aided by 1H–1H correlation experiments. Coupling constants are given as absolute values. 31P spectra were recorded at 121 MHz on a Bruker 300 MHz spectrometer. Unless otherwise stated, NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl3. Low resolution electron-impact mass spectra were measured on a VG Analytical spectrometer with attached INCOS 2400 data system at an ionization potential of 70 eV. Isomers were assumed to have the same response factors. Elemental analyses were performed by Ms Anne Connolly, Department of Chemistry, University College Dublin. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 Infra-red FT spectrometer. Optical rotation values were measured on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes in a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on aluminum sheets pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254(0.25 mm, Macherey-Nagel). Column chromatography separations were performed using Merck Kieselgel 60 (Art. 7734), Merck Alumina (Art. 1097) or Merck Alumina (Art. 1104) as stated. Solvents were dried immediately prior to use by distillation from standard drying agents. Oxazolines 14, 15 and 2,2-disopropyl-dihydrofuran-2-one (29) were prepared according to literature procedures. The products of the asymmetric Heck reactions, 2-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran (2), 2-phenyl-2,3-dihydrofuran (3), 2-cyclohex-1-‘-yl-2,5-dihydrofuran (17), 2-cyclohex-1-‘-yl-2,3-dihydrofuran (18), 2,2-dimethyl-5-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran (24), 2,5-cyclohex-1-‘-yl-2,2-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran (25), 2,2-diethyl-5-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran (26) and 2,5-cyclohex-1-‘-yl-2,2-diethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran (27) have been reported previously. 4-tert-Butyl-2-[3-(diphenylphosphino)-2-thienyl]-4,5-dihydro-1,3-oxazole (10) Oxazoline 14 (0.418 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved in Et2O (5 mL) and the solution was cooled at –78 °C for 30 min. The reaction was warmed to 0 °C and stirred at this temperature for 30 min. The yellow-green solution was finally cooled to –78 °C then ClPPh2 (0.370 mL, 2.05 mmol) was added dropwise and the yellow solution was stirred at –78 °C for 30 min. The reaction was warmed to 0 °C and stirred at this temperature for 30 min. The yellow-green solution was finally cooled to –78 °C then CIpPh2 (0.370 mL, 2.05 mmol) was added to the solution. The reaction was allowed to warm to r.t. over 20 h and then quenched with water. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et2O (2 × 5 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over Na2SO4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow-brown oil was purified by chromatography (cyclohexane–Et2O, 9:1) to afford a clear oil that slowly turned into a waxy white solid (0.17 g, 44%). [α]D0 = –90 (c 0.9, CHCl3).

1H NMR (270 MHz): δ = 0.62 (s, 3 H), 3.91–4.13 (m, 3 H), 6.31 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 7.23–7.30 (m, 10 H), 7.6–7.7 (m, 1 H).

13C NMR (50 MHz): δ = 12.59, 13.82, 66.82, 73.65, 127.38, 128.28 (d, J = 4 Hz), 128.31, 131.60 (d, J = 22.1 Hz), 132.94, 133.40, 133.50 (d, J = 11 Hz), 133.83 (d, J = 21 Hz), 137.28 (d, J = 9 Hz), 138.40 (d, J = 12 Hz), 141.23, (d, J = 27 Hz), 158.00 (d, J = 4 Hz).


MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 378 (M+, 4), 364 (6), 336 (18), 308 (100), 288 (55), 246 (16), 234 (10), 152 (4), 139 (3), 107 (3), 77 (10), 63(4).

Anal. Calcd for C23H23NOPS: C, 69.64; H, 5.84; N, 3.69. Found: C, 69.60; H, 5.80; N, 3.68.

Asymmetric Heck Reactions; General Procedure

A solution of the appropriate trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.13 mmol) and n-tridecane (10.0 mg, 0.054 mmol) in benzene (0.5 mL) was added to a Schlenk tube containing Pd2(dba)3 (2.3 mg, 0.004 mmol) and ligand (0.008 mmol) under nitrogen. To this solution was then added the 2,3-dihydrofuran oil (0.65 mmol) and base (0.39 mmol). The resulting solution was then degassed by three freeze-thaw cycles at 0.01 mbar and left to stir under nitrogen at 80 °C for 7 d giving a red solution with precipitation of base·HOTf. Pentane (10 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and the resulting suspension was filtered through 2 cm of silica with further elution using Et2O (10 mL). This solution was then concentrated and the yield calculated using GC (Se-30, 11 psi, 50 °C, 4 min, 15 °C/min, 170 °C, 10 min) by the internal standard method. Further purification by TLC (a normal sized TLC plate was run and a strip cut off and visualised with KMnO4, the silica of the remainder of the plate at the same Rf as the product was then scraped off and extracted with CH2Cl2) to give the product. Its enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral GC.

5,5-Diisopropyltetrahydrofuran-2-ol (30)

Disobutylaluminium hydride (1.84 mL, 1 M in toluene, 2.3 mmol) was added to a solution of 5,5-diisopropyltetrahydrofuran-2(3H)-one (0.3 g, 1.76 mmol) in anhyd CH2Cl2 (5 mL) and cooled to –78 °C under nitrogen and the solution was stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then poured into 0.2 M HCl and extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with NaHCO3, dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated in vacuo to give an oil which was purified by column chromatography (CH2Cl2–pentane, 2:1) affording 30 (0.23 g, 76%) as a yellow oil.

IR (film): 3418 (OH), 2966, 2916, 2896, 1475, 1384, 1196, 997, 618 cm−1.
1H NMR (500 MHz): δ = 0.83 (d, 6 H, J = 7 Hz), 0.91 (d, 6 H, J = 6 Hz.), 1.90 (hept, 2 H, J = 7 Hz), 1.81 (t, 2 H, J = 4 Hz), 2.0 (m, 2 H), 5.46 (dd, 1 H, J = 6 Hz).

13C NMR (75 MHz): δ = 17.9, 18.4, 19.4, 19.8, 26.4, 33.4, 34.6, 34.9, 93.0, 102.0.

MS (El, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 154 (M+ – 18, 16), 129 (19), 111 (45), 93 (100), 83 (22).
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